



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/716,108

11/18/2003

Mark A. Alcazar

MS1-1799US

6123

22801 7590 03/30/2009

LEE & HAYES, PLLC  
601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE  
SUITE 1400  
SPOKANE, WA 99201

EXAMINER

HOANG, PHUONG N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2194

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/30/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                       |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/716,108 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>ALCAZAR ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>PHUONG N. HOANG   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2194               |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PHUONG N. HOANG. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Ningning Xu. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed 101 issues and allowable subject matter on claims 2, 4, 8, 16, and 19. Applicant agreed to do examiner's amendment to overcome the 101. Applicant also verified that the term "computer-readable storage medium" is intended to only cover physical storage media such as CD, DVD, harddisk, etc. and not "carrier wave" as disclosed in paragraph 0024 - 0025 of specification.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|   |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| /Li B. Zhen/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194 | /P. N. H./<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2194 |
|---|---------------------------------------|